


WASHINGTON METROPOLITAN AREA TRANSIT AUTHORITY
600 Fifth Street, NW, Washington, DC 20001
AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT

1. AMENDMENT / MODIFICATION NO. A003	2. EFFECTIVE DATE June 29, 2015		
3. ISSUED BY Office of Procurement and Materials Ruby S. Coley, Contract Administrator 3500 Pennsy Drive, Bldg. B, Room B-107 Landover, MD 20785	4. ADMINISTERED BY (if other than block 3) Email: rcoley@wmata.com Office No. (301) 955-7173		
5. CONTRACTOR NAME AND ADDRESS <small>X Street, City County, State, and Zip Code</small>	6 FORM TYPE (CHECK ONLY ONE) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. <u>FQ15186/RSC</u> DATED <u>April 28, 2015</u> (see block 7) _____ MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT NO. _____ DATED _____ (See block 9)		
7 THIS BLOCK APPLIES ONLY TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in block 10. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offerors must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation, or as amended, by one of the following methods; (a) By signing and returning <u>one</u> copy of this amendment, (b) by acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) by separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE ISSUING OFFICE PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If, by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided such telegram makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.			
8. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required) <p style="text-align: center;">N/A</p>			
9. THIS BLOCK APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS (a) <input type="checkbox"/> Change Order is issued pursuant to _____ The Changes set forth in block 10 are made to the above numbered contract/order. (b) <input type="checkbox"/> The above numbered contract/order is modified to reflect the administrative changes (such as changes in paying office, appropriation data, etc.) set forth in block 10. (c) <input type="checkbox"/> This Supplemental Agreement is entered into pursuant to _____			
10. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION. (a) RFP No. FQ15186/RSC for Bus CCTV On-Board Surveillance System is amended to include changes in the Solicitation Instructions and the Scope of Work. (b) Changes are denoted with a ## symbol at the beginning and ending of each changed paragraph. (c) Request for Proposals due date changed to Wednesday, July 22, 2015 at 3:00 p.m. <p style="text-align: center;">-- Continued on Page 2 --</p>			
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in block 6, as heretofore changed, remain unchanged and in full force and effect through the contract period.			
11. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR IS REQUIRED TO SIGN THIS DOCUMENT AND RETURN <u>ONE</u> COPY(IES) TO ISSUING OFFICE.	11. <input type="checkbox"/> CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR IS NOT REQUIRED TO SIGN THIS DOCUMENT		
12. NAME OF CONTRACTOR/OFFICE BY _____ <small>(Signature of person authorized to sign)</small>	12. WASHINGTON METROPOLITAN AREA TRANSIT AUTHORITY BY  <small>(Signature of person authorized to sign)</small>		
13. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)	14. DATE SIGNED	15. NAME OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print) Lisa Dunlap	17. DATE SIGNED 6/29/15

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT

RFP No. FQ15186/RSC

Bus CCTV On-Board Surveillance System

Amendment No. 003 Changes

Solicitation, Offer and Award Form:

Remove page 9, Amendment No. 002, replace with page 9, Amendment No. 003.

Solicitation Instructions:

Remove pages 22 thru 24, Amendment No. 002, replace with pages 22 thru 24, Amendment No. 003.

Scope of Work:

Remove page SOW-136, Amendment No. 002, replace with page SOW-136, Amendment No. 003.

-- End Amendment No. 003 --



WASHINGTON METROPOLITAN AREA TRANSIT AUTHORITY
SOLICITATION, OFFER AND AWARD

CONTRACT NO. SOLICITATION NO. RFP FQ15186/RSC DATE ISSUED April 28 2015 ADDRESS OFFER TO OFFICE OF PROCUREMENT Office of Procurement 600 Fifth Street NW Washington, DC 20001

SOLICITATION

Sealed offer in one (1) original and FOUR ELECTRONIC USB copies for furnishing the supplies or services in the schedules will be received at the Authority until 3:00 P.M. local time July 22, 2015

If this is an advertised solicitation, offers will be publicly opened at that time. CAUTION - LATE OFFERS: See paragraph 9 of Solicitation Instructions.

All offers are subject to the following:

- 1. The Solicitation Instructions which are attached.
2. The General Provisions, which are attached.
3. The Schedule included herein and/or attached hereto.
4. Such other provisions, representations, certifications, and specifications, as are attached or incorporated herein by reference.

Proposer's Phone Number Proposer's Fax Number

Email Address:

SCHEDULE

Table with 6 columns: ITEM NO., SUPPLIES/SERVICES, QUANTITY, UNIT, UNIT PRICE, AMOUNT. Row 1: BUS CCTV ON-BOARD SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM

DUN & BRADSTREET ID NUMBER:

OFFEROR

Form for Offeror information including Name and Address, Name and Title of Person Authorized to Sign Offer, Signature, Offer Date, and a checkbox for remittance.

AWARD (To be completed by The Authority)

ACCEPTANCE AND AWARD ARE HEREBY MADE FOR THE FOLLOWING ITEM(S):

Table with 4 columns: ITEM NO., QUANTITY, UNIT, UNIT PRICE

The total amount of this award is \$

Name of Contracting Officer (Print of Type)

WASHINGTON METROPOLITAN AREA TRANSIT AUTHORITY

AWARD DATE

Amendment No. 003

- b. Best Value Determination
 - (1) The technical merit of the proposal is significantly more important than the price, and price must be fair and reasonable.
 - (2) The Authority may select other than the lowest price proposal if it is determined by value analysis, or technical/cost tradeoffs, that the proposal offers the greatest overall benefit to the Authority. As proposals become more technically equivalent, then price becomes more important.
- c. Offerors are advised that award may be made without discussion or any contact with the offerors concerning the offers received. Therefore, offers should be submitted initially on the most favorable terms that the offeror can submit to the Authority.
- d. Price evaluation will be based on the sum of the total prices.
- e. Affordability. The price proposals will be assessed for affordability. The Authority will not make an award for any proposal which proposes prices that would render the procurement infeasible.

22. TECHNICAL PROPOSAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

Each offeror's Technical Proposal will be evaluated against the following criteria listed in descending order of importance as follows:

Criterion 1 - Past Performance/Experience: (25%)

Offeror must demonstrate in its proposal a minimum of seven (7) years of experience with installation of vehicle electrical systems which includes radio transmissions and GPS coordination.

Offeror must demonstrate in their proposal a minimum of seven (7) years of experience with successful installation of at least 100 over-the-road coaches/transit buses/school buses CCTV systems which includes DVR/NVR, or other state of the art Video Recording Devices, recordings with download capabilities to external sources, camera clarity, and integration to other required bus electrical/electronic systems/wireless networks.

Offeror must demonstrate in their proposal that they have successfully installed CCTV Systems on over-the-road coaches/transit buses/school buses at a minimum of three (3) similar contracts within the past seven (7) years, and it must include detailed information:

- (1) Name and address of Agency/Organization;
- (2) Name, Telephone number and email address of administrative contracting officer/program manager;
- (3) Brief description of the project, including project title and location;
- (4) Number of vehicles involved;
- (5) Contract Value;
- (6) Performance Period;

Criterion 2 - Sample Video: (20%)

##

Offeror must provide a sample DVD/CD meeting the needs described in the Scope of Work, "Sample Video" section.

Criterion 3- Technician Proficiency: (20%)

##

Offeror must demonstrate that technicians performing work as required by the Scope of Work have the ability to perform the work with minimal supervision and at maximum proficiency. Technicians will have all required training, certification and licenses required to perform the work detailed in the Scope of Work.

Offeror must submit resumes of all technicians who will be working on the project. Technician resumes must include formal electrical or electronic training either through a technical training school, college, or military training; and references. References must include company's name and complete address, point of contact name, title and email address, telephone number, and list electrical and electronic licenses and certifications.

Criterion 4 – Warranty: (10%)

Offeror will demonstrate their ability to meet the needs detailed under the Warranty Program in the Scope of Work.

Criterion 5 – Maintenance Agreement: (10%)

Offeror will demonstrate their ability to meet the needs detailed under the Maintenance Agreement Program in the Scope of Work.

Criterion 6 – Technical Data Sheets: (10%)

Offeror's must submit all technical data sheets for each and every component being provided by the contractor for this project, and demonstrate in detail that the proposed CCTV System/Equipment meet the standard described in the Scope of Work.

Offeror's must provide as part of their submittal complete information as outlined in the tables within the Scope of Work to describe storage capacity, camera frame rates, etc. Offeror must demonstrate the information provided meets WMATA standards for CCTV equipment integration into a bus, VAN, WMATA LAN and any other interfaces with WMATA systems.

##

##

Amendment No. 003

Criterion 7 – Written Statement of CCTV Systems for Compatibility Testing: (5%)

Offer's must provide in its technical proposal a written statement that the offeror agrees to provide WMATA with two (2) complete CCTV Systems for compatibility testing purposes at their own cost and at their cost for installation and removal.

23. RATINGS FOR TECHNICAL PROPOSAL EVALUATION CRITERIA

Each criterion will be rated using the Adjectival scoring method as follows:

Definition of Adjective Rankings:

Exceptional	Exceeds specified performance or capability in a beneficial way to WMATA, and has no weakness.
Acceptable	Meets evaluation standards required under the technical provisions. Weaknesses are correctable.
Marginal	Fails to meet evaluation standard; however any significant deficiencies are correctable. Lacks essential information to support a proposal.
Unacceptable	Fails to meet an acceptable evaluation standard and the deficiency is uncorrectable. Proposal would have to undergo a major revision to become acceptable. Demonstrated lack of understanding of WMATA's requirements or omissions of major areas.

A rating of "Acceptable" is required to be eligible for award consideration. Offeror is cautioned to be aware of this standard when preparing your Proposal.

24. DEFINITIONS FOR TECHNICAL PROPOSAL EVALUATION

Clarifications:	Communications with an offeror for the sole purpose of eliminating minor irregularities, informalities, or apparent clerical mistakes in the proposal. Unlike discussions, clarifications do not give the offeror an opportunity to revise or modify its proposal, except to the extent that correction of apparent clerical mistake results in revisions.
Discussions:	Oral or written communications including negotiations between the Authority and an offeror (other than clarifications) that; involves information essential for determining the acceptability of the proposal or to cure identified defects in the proposal.

WASHINGTON METROPOLITAN AREA TRANSIT AUTHORITY
SUPPLY AND SERVICE CONTRACT RFP NO. FQ15186/RSC

- b. 1% of all buses will have an EA event on any given day
 - c. 1% of all buses will have a G-Force event on any given day
 - d. 2% of all buses will have to respond to a “Requested Video” query on any given day. This query will be (on average) 15 minutes in length.
 - ## e. All buses will upload “Health Check” data once a day to wayside (fixed end system).
 - 1. CCTV system shall report system faults and state of health information to existing external onboard monitoring system (Clever Devices AVM3®). ##
 - a. Communication shall be via SAE 1708/1587 or J1939 interfaces.
 - b. Faults shall be reported in real time, data upon request.
 - c. At a minimum, the following faults and data must be supported:
 - i. VR software version/revision identification.
 - ii. Backup battery status/state of health.
 - iii. System over/under voltage errors.
 - iv. System over temperature errors.
 - 1. Occurrence count / severity level.
 - v. Camera-specific faults.
 - 1. Obscured visibility.
 - 2. Loss of signal.
 - vi. Communication faults / upload errors.
 - 1. Wireless upload failure reports. ##
- f. The number of buses per division is as follows: (will be provided prior to project start)

DIVISIONS	ACTUAL BUSES	ASSIGNMENTS					SCHEDULES				
		MAX SKED FLEET	STRA-TEGIC FLEET	MAX FLEET	SPARES	TOTAL FLEET	AM REG FLEET	PM REG FLEET	BASE REG FLEET	SAT MAX FLEET	SUN MAX FLEET
BLADENSBURG											
NORTHERN											
SOUTHERN AVE											
WESTERN											
WEST OX											
FOUR MILE RUN											
LANDOVER											
MONTGOMERY											
SHEPHARD PKWY											
SYSTEM TOTAL											

- g. Amount of available time for data upload from a bus is 30 minutes/day – includes 20 minute System Shutdown time.
- h. Up to 50% of buses can arrive in division at one time.

Amendment No. 003